

O draft, not for circulation, 28<sup>th</sup> August 2013

A primary analysis by CSOs on Declaration of Asia Pacific Ministerial Dialogue: Asia-Pacific Ministerial Dialogue: From the Millennium Development Goals to the United Nations Development Agenda beyond 2015, Bangkok, 26-28 August 2013.

Urging US and Japan for cooperative engagement in respect of setting post 2015 Developing Agenda

**We welcome Ministerial declaration, even though it is far short on gender sensitivity and migrants dimension, simultaneously we are worried on inclusion of “investment liberalization”**

Bangkok 28<sup>th</sup> August 2013. Amid the protest from Japan on inclusion of CBDR (common but differentiate responsibilities) principles and US announcement of disassociation from the declaration, we the CSO welcome the declaration.

We welcome the declaration, as it has included and pleaded for people centric goals; changing unsustainable and promoting sustainable patterns of consumption and production and protecting and managing the natural resource base; recognizing the fact of inequalities between countries, man and women; has realized the fact that economic growth have to equitable and inclusive; has recognized the demand of transfer of technologies and know how's in confessional and preferred terms mutually agreed.

We also do like to express our dismay that there are hardly any recognition of gender dimension of development agenda, even which has been acknowledged in HLP and UNSG report, especially elimination of all law which discriminate man and women, has not been recognized the rights of women and girls on sex education and reproductive health services. Governments Ministers by tradition has ignored famine face of poverty.

Ministers have also completely ignored the existing and emerging migrants dimension in poverty and economics. even though which has been acknowledged especially in UNSG report. Migrants rights have to be considered within the frame work of human rights, and there is also emerging concern on the fact of climate change related migration issues.

We the CSO worries of inclusion of para “..the need to strengthen the multi-lateral trading regime that is fair, just and open advance trade and investment liberalization and facilitation, remove trade and investment barriers and oppose and resist all forms of protectionism.” This is against the sprit of long demand from developing countries especially from the LDCs on aid for trade especially to strengthen supply side; duty free quota free access, and full waiver of TRIPs until these LDCs are graduated and even which has been agreed in Doha Development Around. There are relation of decent work, employment creation and poverty alleviation. The ministerial has ignored this dimensions too.

Rezaul Karim Chowdhury, 28<sup>th</sup> August 2013, [www.equitybd.org](http://www.equitybd.org)