

CoP-27: Global Climate Conference Bangladesh Position and Civil Society Opinion



CIRDAP Auditorium, 29 Sep 2022

Global Climate Negotiation: A Search of a New Global Instrument for North

- **We can remember the SAP [Structural Adjustment Policy] process and its impacts.**
- **Rich countries need a new global Instrument to control the economy of South in the context slow economic growth of the North.**
- **Climate Change might be a such Instrument.**



CoP 27: A Rocky Road again to save the Earth going beyond the politicizing of nationalism

- **Agendas are very traditional but Technique of discourse always new.**
- **Time passing through push and fighting with new words.**
- **Bi-Passing or reluctant to comply the PA and always seek the solution out of UNFCCC process/framework.**



CoP-27 Provisional Agenda

- **Temperature Goal [NDC & Net Zero process]**
- **Finance Goal [NCQG and its Working process].**
- **Adaptation Matter [GGA & Adaptation Finance].**

Limiting Future Climate Change

Whether we moving toward a “Carbon Colonialism”???

Decision of GHGs reduction are not so impressive. [All submitted NDCs, is estimated to be 13.7 percent above the 2010 level in 2030”]

Agreed to strengthen NDCs target is an important step. But question is either it would be commensurate with science and align their targets of keeping 1.5 degrees?

The target of ending the fossil fuel [Coal] consumption halted due to opposed by China and India.

Introduce and pushing the “Net Zero” concept will ultimately create new “Carbon Colonialism”.



So called “Net Zero by 2050” theory: An Elusive Solution Proposal?


The UK government as President of CoP 26 has used the global climate summit to create pressure on countries and corporations to announce new “net zero” targets.

82 countries along with big companies [e.g. BP and Shell] announced their Net Zero Target by 2040-2070.

Source: Aljazeera

**** Bangladesh observing and yet to be signed.**

“Net zero” does not mean “zero emission”



What would be the impact of “Net Zero” proposal in our global emission scenario?


The term “net zero” is used by the world’s biggest polluters and govt. as a concealment to evade their responsibility.

Collectively, “net zero” climate targets allow for continued rising levels of GHGs emissions and technologies or tree plantations will be able to suck carbon dioxide (CO₂).

“Net zero” targets shown that they are **not at all serious or ambitious due to distant targets by 2050 or 2070** and means inaction or harmful action continuing for decades.

We expect progress at COP-27

**** Rich countries pledge real zero 2030 targets with real plans based on the science, in line with fair shares for 1.5°C.**



Climate Finance: Deprived Billion [100] by fishing to the Trillion

- CoP 26 already fail to ensure 100 billion by deferring to 2025 and beyond
- Very reluctant to made commitment the article 9.5 of PA
- Role of GCF is obscure post 2025 period
- **Protested to resume the discourse for separate finance for loss & damage program under SNLD.**
- Apart the new add on “New Collective Quantified Ggoal on Finance (NCQG)”.



New Collective Quantified Goal on Finance (NCQG): No representation from MVCs ??

Discussions on the NCQG were also highly contentious and decisions.

To mobilize at least USD 1.3 trillion per year by 2030, of which 50% for mitigation and 50% for adaptation and a significant percentage on grant basis from a floor of USD 100 billion, **"taking into account the needs and priorities of developing countries"???**

Established an "ad hoc work programme from 2022 to 2024" under the PA, "to be facilitated by co-chairs, one from a developed country and one from a developing country".

We worried that the NCQG will push the private sector in climate financing process in both poor and developing countries.



Debate on Framework & Process

Poor and developing countries were in favour of the continuation of the LTF agenda item under the CoP, while developed countries were vehemently opposed to it and push under the PA.

That's why, it's decided to continued and discussions on long-term climate finance will conclude in 2027.

On the definition of climate finance, developed countries strongly opposed to give any mandate to the SCF for a single & multilaterally agreed climate finance definition.

Now country parties are invited and will give a submission on CF definition those will be considered at CoP 27.



Future Climate Finance: We expect progress at CoP 27

- Climate finance must be as non-debt instrument for MVCs. That means the NCQG should priorities grants first, then highly concessional finance. **Never the non-concessional loans & equity (finance) for MVCs.**
- **The NCQG process should explore new % GNI targets for climate finance above/beyond the existing 0.7% for ODA.**
- The new NCQG must take the format of a matrix with sub-goals including mitigation, adaptation, and Loss & Damage, as well as sub-goals for different instruments].
- Also expect an easier access procedure to the proposed NCQG.



Separate Financing to Loss & Damage

The G- 77 put forward language for the creation of the Glasgow Facility as **“Financing the solutions to loss and damage”** as part of the final outcome of COP 26 under the “Glasgow Climate Pact”.

The issues watered down while US and some other rich nations disagreed. They agreed to only hold a dialogue **on “Finance for loss and damage”**, and the COP 26 Presidency pushed developing countries to accept this outcome as part of the Glasgow Climate Pact and however accepted.

We expect progress at COP-27

As we look ahead under a new African COP president including the issue as CoP 29 agenda while vulnerable developing countries once again push for an adequate response to the realities of loss and damage.



We are beyond as usual expectation about BD Position and role

Country position and role is hardly inclusive

- Delegates and their submission and imparting in the negotiation.
- Capacitated and include civil society group to strengthen the country position [ins and outside CoP].
- Technical experts' group [followed by country position and save the own interest].
- Setting strategies for role play in the CoP.



**Thank
You All**

